

F









United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/652,268	08/31/2000	Jian-Shiou Liaw	2648.63638	3084	
7	590 02/25/2003				
Patrick G. Burns, Esq. Greer, Burns& Crain, Ltd. 300 S. Wacker Drive-25th Floor			EXAMINER		
			CHOI, STEPHEN		
Chicago, IL 6	0606		· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724		
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(QX	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/652,268	LIAW, JIAN-SHIOU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen Choi	3724	<u> </u>
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communicatio ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 E	December 2002 .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims			is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-15</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-15</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 August 2000</u> is/are:		•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	, 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		PP N I.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application. 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applicat	ion).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting	* *		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .	

Application/Control Number: 09/652,268

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stanich et al. (US 4,803,903, hereafter Stanich).

Stanich discloses all the recited elements of the invention including:

- a) a connecting tube having first and second ends (30);
- b) an extension rod having first and second ends (26A);
- c) a cylinder having a body end, an opening end, and a through hole (34, 34A).

It is noted that applicant is claiming only the subcombination of the extension assembly without the cutting tool.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/652,268

Art Unit: 3724

4. Claims 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (hereafter AAPA) in view of Stanich and Frenkel (US 5,709,136).

AAPA discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for an extension assembly including a connecting tube, an extension rod, and a cylinder. Stanich discloses a connecting tube having first and second ends (30), an extension rod having first and second ends (26A), and a cylinder having a body end, an opening end, and a through hole (34, 34A). Furthermore, Frenkel teaches that it is known to employ an extension adapter for a cutting tool. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an extension assembly as taught by Stanich on the device of AAPA in order to provide means for extending length of the tool to provide greater versatility. Nevertheless, it is noted that applicant's claims are drawn to the subcombination of the extension assembly without the cutting tool. This rejection shows that the claimed subcombination would have been obvious to connect to a cutting tool.

5. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stanich in view of Yamada (US 4,179,805) or, over AAPA in view of Stanich and Frenkel as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Yamada (US 4,179,805).

Stanich (or the modified device of AAPA) discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for an adjustable handle assembly including a rotatable tube and a threaded rod. Yamada discloses a handle assembly (20) including a rotatable tube (21) and a threaded rod (23). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a handle assembly including a

Application/Control Number: 09/652,268 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

rotatable tube and a threaded rod as taught by Yamada on the device of Stanich (or the modified device of AAPA) in order to provide an additional adjustable support for an operator to stabilize the tool against vibration to facilitate maintaining the position of the tool against the workpiece while in use.

6. Claim 15, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stanich in view of Galat (US 6,055,887) or, over AAPA in view of Stanich and Frenkel as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Galat (US 6,055,887).

Stanich (or the modified device of AAPA) discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a bearing mounted on the first and second ends of the extension rod, and abutting the cylinder. Galat discloses a bearing (40). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a bearing as taught by Galat on the device of Stanich in order to rotationally support the extension rod. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the bearing on both ends of the extension rod, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 09/652,268

Art Unit: 3724

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

Page 5

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to S. Choi whose telephone number is 703-306-4523. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday between 9am and 5pm. If

attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan

Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-

9302. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should

be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

SC

February 24, 2003

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700